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Abstract—This paper presents a statistical methodology for detection of inter-turn short circuit fault in asynchronous and synchronous machines. This methodology uses a correlation coefficient obtained from external magnetic field measured in the machine vicinity. It is a noninvasive method which follows up the signals of two external flux sensors located symmetrically around the machine axis (180° spatially shifted). The principle is based on the calculation of the Pearson correlation coefficient between two signals delivered by two sensors S1 and S2 when the machine operates at different load conditions, which allows us to detect incipient faults in electrical induction and synchronous machines with a high probability of detection. Experimental tests are realized using two specific rewound machines to create inter-turn short circuit faults with different severity levels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In numerous previous works, it is shown that electrical machines incur a wide range of mechanical problems common to most machinery, like unbalance, bearing faults, resonance [1]-[5]. But electrical machines also incur their own specific set of problems, which are the result of mechanical, thermal, or electrical stress. In this case, monitoring devices relying the information provided by the magnetic fluxes produced by any incipient magnetic or electric unbalance may be efficiently used in addition to, or as alternatives to the widely used current monitoring. Based on numerous evaluation test, the stator current and external leakage flux were selected as the most practical signals containing the needed information for detection of the inter-turn short circuit faults [6]-[8]. In practice, there are many factors which can cause the stator winding faults as the supply voltage transient generated by lightning, opening or closing of circuit breakers and also by variable frequency drives. Thermal and environmental stresses are another factor created by the overloading or by a hostile environment [9]. It is very useful to detect these faults at an early stage for safety operations of these machines, because the failures can lead to more energy consumption and unexpected stop of the system.

The history of fault detection and diagnosis of electrical motors goes back to almost the date of their invention but, over the last few years, there has been an increase in the application of many methods of diagnosis. Contemporary researchers have also been involved in rigorous researches to come up with more efficient and sensitive inter-turn winding faults detection schemes [10]-[12]. Recently, several methods used for the diagnosis of electrical machines, based on current signature analysis and vibration [13]-[15] have been developed. Although their effectiveness has been demonstrated, the generalization of these methods in the industrial environment remains limited because their cost is relatively important. A number of time frequency domain techniques have been also proposed, which includes Short Time Fourier Transform [16], Artificial Neural Networks [17], [18] and Improved Artificial Ant Clustering Technique [19]. Another technique used for induction motor fault detection exploits Artificial Intelligence tools, such as Expert Systems, Fuzzy Logic [20]. These techniques can detect faults in electrical machines, but their implementation requires complex data acquisition equipment, sometime additional measurements and takes a relatively long time [21].

In industrial applications, it is interesting to use fully non-invasive measurement methods to detect faults in electrical machines without stopping or modifying the operation systems as the method presented in [22], where the diagnosis is based on the analysis of sensitive harmonics when the reactive power varies. The advantage of this method is that it uses only the external magnetic field analysis, it is fully noninvasive, and it can be implemented for asynchronous (AM) and synchronous machines (SM).

The principle exposed in [22] considering a 11kW AM is extended in this paper by introducing the calculation and the analysis of the Pearson correlation coefficient between two signals delivered by two coil sensors S1 and S2 with a 10kW
SM. These sensors are located symmetrically relatively to the axis of the machine [23] and a specific harmonic of the electromotive forces induced in the coils is used as fault indicator to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient. The interest of this extended study is double, firstly it allows the validation of the principle for a SM in order to generalize it and the second is that the considered machine allows us to realize the incipient faults (1, 3 or 5 short-circuited turns) in order to test the reliability of the proposed method.

Compared to works [7], [24] which exploit the difference of variation and ratio of the amplitude of two coil sensors by fusion process of flux sensors signals using belief functions theory, this paper proposes the use of the Pearson correlation coefficient to summarize both information.

This paper is organized as follows: section II presents the methodology of the diagnosis procedure and the principle of the Pearson correlation coefficient and section III presents the experimental results demonstrating the validity of the proposed method.

II. TECHNIQUE OF THE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT FOR FAULT DIAGNOSIS

The principle of the method is schematized in Fig.1: two sensors S1 and S2 are placed at 180° from each other around a machine. Those sensors measure the external magnetic field in the vicinity of the machine which may present internal faults.

The method exploits the magnetic dissymmetry induced by an internal stator winding inter-turn fault. In healthy case, the distribution of the field lines stays practically symmetrical around the machine. So, the signals measured by the sensors S1 and S2 are almost identically. In faulty case when a fault appears, the distribution of the magnetic field around the machine changes and its symmetry is lost as consequence of the new stator current distribution.

Due to the dissymmetry, the sensors S1 and S2 placed at 180° from each other will provide signals with different magnitude. This phenomenon can be measured for machines with 4, 6, 8… poles, (only the 2 pole machine requires further analysis because the faulty machine remains symmetrical). In order to increase the sensitivity of the method, the analysis will not focus on the full signal but on a specific spectral line of the spectrum, which is known to be sensitive to a fault. In the following, the magnitude of this spectral line for the positions S1 and S2 will be denoted respectively AS1 and AS2.

For a stator inter-turn short circuit fault, these sensitive harmonics can be determined from an analytical model of the faulty machine that considers the slotting effect [8]. This model can be applied independently to asynchronous or asynchronous machines, by adapting the number of rotor and stator slots. It is shown that the corresponding frequency depends on the number of rotor slot Nr, the machine speed n [rpm] and the p pairs of poles. For a 50Hz supply frequency, this sensitive frequency is given by:

$$f_s = \frac{N_r n_p}{60} \pm 50$$

Equation (1) leads to define two frequencies. The method can be applied for both frequencies, but one is enough, the highest magnitude for example. The principle of the method, detailed in [24], is not to exploit AS1 and AS2 as single data, but to analyze the variation of these magnitudes when the load of the machine changes. It is shown in [24], that feature can be extracted from these variations and therefore a diagnostic procedure can be defined. In this work, the proposed procedure uses the calculation of Pearson correlation coefficient r between those specific harmonics in healthy and faulty conditions of the machine.

The population Pearson correlation coefficient, ρXY between two random variables X and Y is defined as:

$$\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{\text{cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

where cov means covariance of X and Y and σX and σY correspond respectively to the standard deviations of X and Y.

In case of a series of n measurements of X and Y written as \(\{x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}\) and \(\{y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}\), then the Pearson correlation coefficient, noted r, is written as:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$

where \(\bar{x}\) and \(\bar{y}\) are the sample means of X and Y.

The correlation coefficient r always belongs to the interval [-1, 1] [26], [27]. The values of r can vary depending on the type of data, which are examined.

Let consider AS1 and AS2 the amplitudes of these variables in both positions, which are used as inputs to calculate the Pearson coefficient. Different values of AS1 and AS2 corresponding to various load conditions are considered. Considering a load increase, the method can be described as follows:

- If the values of r are close to 1, there is a strong positive and linear correlation between AS1 and AS2, this means that variables vary similarly as shown in Fig. 2. This indicates no fault in the stator windings of the machine.

Fig. 1. Position 1 of sensors S1 and S2 placed at 180° around the electrical machine.
a weak linear correlation between values of two flux sensors to detect stator winding inter-turn fault in electrical machines. Nonetheless, these approaches differ in the way to interpret these measures. In the papers [7] and [24], the same information, called difference of variation, is computed with these measurements. In [7], in addition of this information, the absolute value of the difference measurements is used to improve the detection. However, in order to use this last information, it is necessary to define a threshold which may differ from one machine to another. Another approach without threshold, called ratio of the amplitude, is proposed in [24]. This ratio has a natural tendency to overestimate the presence of defects. The approach being proposed here, based on Pearson correlation coefficient, is used to summarize both information difference variation and the difference measurements for [7] (or difference variation and ratio of the amplitude for [24]) without the weaknesses of the initial methods.

Moreover, in the papers [7] and [24], different positions of both sensors have been used to help the detection of defaults which cannot be detected in one position. Here only one position is used. This aspect could be studied in future works.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### A. Description of the Experimental Test Bench

This section presents experimental results obtained on two electrical machines which parameters are presented in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine type</th>
<th>AM</th>
<th>SM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power (KW)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poles</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator slots</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor slots</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanced line voltage (V)</td>
<td>380/660</td>
<td>220/400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous speed (rpm)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated speed (rpm)</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cosφ</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The asynchronous machine shown in Fig.5, with 32 rotor slots (N=32) leads to sensitive spectral lines at 750Hz and 850 Hz.

As with papers [7] and [24], this paper proposes to use the values of two flux sensors to detect stator winding inter-turn...
experimental tests highlight a higher magnitude of the line at 850Hz compared to the one at 750Hz. Actually, both harmonics have rather low magnitude, and for better robustness of the method it is preferable to take the one with higher magnitude. For the asynchronous machine, this frequency actually depends on the slip, but it will be still denoted as “the line at 850Hz”.

The synchronous machine illustrated in Fig.6 is a machine with smooth rotor similar to the rotor of a turbo generator (contrarily to a salient pole machine). The rotor is regularly slotted similarly to the stator, with a DC current which flow through the winding. It has also 32 rotor slots (N_r=32) but some slots are not filled by the winding. Equation (1) also leads to sensitive spectral lines at 750Hz and 850Hz, but for the synchronous machine, the 750Hz spectral line has a higher magnitude than the 850Hz one, contrarily to the asynchronous machine. Therefore, the 750Hz line will be chosen for the analysis.

In a practical case, without any prior information about harmonic magnitudes, it can be advised to extract both harmonics from the signal, with an FFT. Then the one with the highest magnitude should be considered for the analysis with the Pearson coefficient. If the frequencies are rather similar, both can be considered and we recommend taking the result with the lowest correlation coefficient.

In a practical case, without any prior information about harmonic magnitudes, it can be advised to extract both harmonics from the signal, with an FFT. Then the one with the highest magnitude should be considered for the analysis with the Pearson coefficient. If the frequencies are rather similar, both can be considered and we recommend taking the result with the lowest correlation coefficient.

The measurements of the amplitudes $A_{s1}$ and $A_{s2}$ are achieved using 6 loads for AM and 6 loads for SM. As shown in Fig.5 and Fig. 6 the two flux sensors $S1$ and $S2$ are placed in opposition (at 180°), against the machine in the middle to reduce the influence of end winding effects. Each sensor is a circular coil composed of 380 turns, which measures the external magnetic field around the machine and gives an emf proportional to it. The amplitude of this signal (mV) is strongly depends on the machine frame attenuation, sensors position and number of turns, so the acquisition device must be able to measure with accuracy this type of signal. The signal spectrum is obtained considering the sampling frequency fixed at $f_e=10Khz$, acquisition time $T_a=10s$ and a frequency resolution $\Delta f= \pm0.1Hz$. As for AM and SM the interest harmonics are inferior at 1KHz, the signal is recorded by means of a numerical low pass filter with a limited frequency of 1500Hz. It has been proved that a simple external flux sensor is more efficient than the classical stator current signature analysis to detect inter turn short-circuit in three-phase induction machine [23], [28].

### B. Calculation Example

For AM, the amplitude variation of the harmonic at 850 Hz is analyzed considering a load increase. The six different load levels, corresponding to different output power chosen in the tests are: $L_0=0W$, $L_1= 128W$, $L_2=513W$, $L_3=1260W$, $L_4=2500W$ and $L_5= 4000W$.

To calculate the correlation coefficient $r$ with two variables $A_{s1}$ and $A_{s2}$, let us consider $x$ the variable $A_{s1}$ of sensor $S1$, $y$ the variable $A_{s2}$ of sensor $S2$ and $n$ the number of loads. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOADS (W)</th>
<th>$S1(\mu V)$</th>
<th>$S2(\mu V)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L0</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>13.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>32.02</td>
<td>30.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>45.33</td>
<td>42.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>77.27</td>
<td>72.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

MEASUREMENT OBTAINED FROM SENSORS S1 AND S2 AROUND THE HEALTHY AND FAULTY AM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOADS (W)</th>
<th>$S1(\mu V)$</th>
<th>$S2(\mu V)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L0</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>13.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>32.02</td>
<td>30.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>45.33</td>
<td>42.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>77.27</td>
<td>72.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Electrical winding scheme of asynchronous machine.
measurements obtained by the sensors are summarized in Table II where the values obtained for a load increase, in healthy and faulty conditions for AM are reported.

From (3) and measurement presented in Table II, it comes results shown in Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8. Different values of the correlation coefficient r for healthy and faulty asynchronous machine.](image)

It can be seen that in healthy AM, there is a strong positive correlation coefficient ($r = 0.9999$) between $A_{S1}$ and $A_{S2}$. This means that the variables vary in the same direction and there is a linear correlation between $A_{S1}$ and $A_{S2}$. In faulty conditions, it is calculated a very weak negative correlation coefficient ($r = -0.1060$) between $A_{S1}$ and $A_{S2}$. It is obtained with 10A short-circuit current in the circuit position at P (33-34) and its low value indicates a fault in the stator windings of the machine.

C. Analysis Results for AM

A series of measurements is realized for different positions of short circuit obtained in following cases:
- Without short-circuit.
- One fault on Phase A (short-circuits on coil 1-2).
- One fault on Phase B (short-circuits on coil 9-10).
- One fault on Phase C (short-circuits on coil 17-18).
- One fault on Phase A (short-circuits on coil 25-26).
- One fault on Phase B (short-circuits on coil 33-34).
- One fault on Phase C (short-circuits on coil 42-43).

For each case, measurements are performed with three values of the short circuit current: $I_{cc}=5A$, $I_{cc}=10A$, $I_{cc}=15A$.

Table III gives the value of the correlation coefficient $r$ calculated with 6 load levels for different fault positions and different short circuit current values. So, 24 arrangements are analyzed: 6 healthy cases and 18 faulty cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHORT-CIRCUIT</th>
<th>NOT FAULT</th>
<th>$I_{cc}=5A$</th>
<th>$I_{cc}=10A$</th>
<th>$I_{cc}=15A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P (1-2)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.9089</td>
<td>0.8562</td>
<td>0.6814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (9-10)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.8686</td>
<td>-0.4097</td>
<td>0.1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (17-18)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.9865</td>
<td>0.8644</td>
<td>0.8446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (25-26)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.7550</td>
<td>0.9423</td>
<td>0.9427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (33-34)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.6948</td>
<td>-0.1060</td>
<td>-0.1478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (42-43)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>-0.5642</td>
<td>0.5127</td>
<td>0.8677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In healthy condition of the machine, it can be observed that the correlation coefficient $r$ is very high and close to 1 ($r=0.9999$). That represents the highest value obtained for all cases used for evaluation. In this case, the relationship between the two sensors is linear and indicates a strong positive correlation between the two variables.

In faulty condition, the magnetic dissymmetry generated by the fault leads to a difference between the signals delivered by sensors $S1$ and $S2$, and therefore between the magnitude $A_{S1}$ and $A_{S2}$ of the 850Hz sensitive harmonic. Then the correlation coefficient $r$ will fall down. This can be observed when in faulty condition $r$ decreases for all the cases relatively to the healthy cases.

Actually, the value of $r$ depends on the fault severity and the position of the short circuit in the machine relatively to the sensors locations. Let us analyze the influence of a threshold of $r$ value on the efficiency of the fault detection:
- For $r_{max} = 0.95$, the method can detect 17 faulty cases among the 18 existing ones. Here, the method can detect 94.4% of the faults.
- For $r_{max} = 0.90$, the method can detect 14/18 faults. Here, even for a fault of high severity ($I_{cc}=15A$) one faulty case can be missed. Here, the method can detect 77.78% of the faults.
- For $r_{max} = 0.85$, the method can detect 50% of the faults for the 5A short circuit case.

Figure 9 presents an illustration, from values in Table III of the correlation coefficient. Each corner represents the short – circuit on specific coil (as example coil 1-2) which have the value $r = 1$ for healthy machine.

This presentation has the advantage of exposing simultaneously multiple faults and the distance of $r$ from 1. We can remark a decrease of correlation coefficient $r$ for each short-circuit position, which generally decreases with the increase of the $I_{cc}$ current. For some positions, corresponding to a “good” positioning of the sensor related to short-circuit winding position this coefficient can turn into negative value. A low value for $r$ means that a fault is detected as is the case of P (42-43) with a short circuit current $I_{cc}=5A$ and when $r=-0.5642$. 

![Fig. 9. Values of correlation coefficient r for different short-circuit currents and short circuit positions in the AM stator.](image)
D. Analysis of the Results for SM

In experimental tests for the synchronous machine operating as motor, the elementary sections have been chosen such that it is possible to study a short circuit close to the input of the phase (phase A), in phase medium (phase B) or near the neutral (Phase C) as presented in Fig.10. The global number of turns in the phase A is 126 and a short-circuit between 1-2 corresponds to one short-circuit turn (0.8% of a full phase), between 2-3 to three short-circuit turns (2.38%), and between 1-4 to five short-circuit turns (4%).

As mentioned earlier, for this machine, the analyzed amplitude is realized for the harmonic at 750 Hz. This choice is realized in correlation with (1) and with experimental tests which take in consideration the solid iron frame of the SM which acts as a low pass filter for magnetic field that leads to a higher magnitude for the 750Hz harmonic compared to the one at 850Hz. The amplitude variation is analyzed considering a load increase. The six different output powers chosen for the tests are: L0=0W, L1= 830W, L2=2500W, L3=4900W, L4=8020W and L5= 9500W.

For the healthy synchronous machine, the correlation coefficient calculated between the magnitude $As1$ and $As2$ is very high and close to 1 ($r = 0.9987$, Fig. 11). It shown a linear correlation compared with the coefficient of the faulty SM ($r = -0.5297$) when a weak correlation between $As1$ and $As2$ is obtained. This test is realized with a 3A short circuit current and the short-circuit position at (1-2). This means that the variables do not change similarly, and it can indicate a fault in the stator windings of the machine.

To confirm the hypothesis that a low value of $r$ is an indicator of a fault presence in the machine, a series of measurements is realized for each load and for different positions of short circuit. They are realized as follows:

- Without short-circuit,
- Three fault on Phase A (short-circuits between 1-2, 2-3, and 1-4),
- Three fault on Phase B (short-circuits between 1-2, 2-3, and 1-4),
- Three fault on Phase C (short-circuits between 1-2, 2-3, and 1-4).

The value of the measured current in each case of the short circuit fault was as follows:
- $I_{cc}=3A$ for short-circuits on coil ‘1- 2’, one shorted turn
- $I_{cc}=9A$ for short-circuits on coil ‘2-3’, three shorted turns
- $I_{cc}=15A$ for short-circuits on coil ‘1- 4’, five shorted turns.

The results presented in Table IV will therefore be limited to the results obtained for motor operation.

![Fig. 10. Stator configuration of synchronous machine: a) external access at different turns positions between the four poles of each phase b) electrical winding schema](image)

![Fig. 11. Different values of the correlation coefficient $r$ for healthy and faulty SM.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IV CORRELATION COEFFICIENT VALUES OBTAINED FOR SENSORS S1 AND S2 PLACED IN OPPOSITION, 180° AROUND OF SM.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHORT-CIRCUIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHASE-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHASE-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHASE-C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table IV the highest value of $r$ is obtained in healthy condition of the machine. In this case, the relationship between the two sensors is linear and indicates a strong positive correlation between the two variables.

The influence of the fault severity is tested by increasing the number of short-circuited turns at 1, 3 or 5, associated with an increase of the short-circuit current at 3A, 9A and 15 A (because here, the resistance that limits the short circuit current does not change). The aim of this test is to determine if an incipient fault can be detected and what is the sensitivity of the method. From Table IV it can be seen that the correlation coefficient always decreases compared to the healthy case.

Actually, the value of $r$ depends on the fault severity and the position of the short circuit in the machine relatively to the sensors locations. It is interesting to remark that, sometime a low incipient fault (1 turn) can give a fall down of the $r$ value (-0.5297) and a more important fault (5 turns) only a low variation (0.968). This was also the case for the AM (see Fig. 11, fault in P (42-43)). As for AM, a good fault detection depends on the chosen threshold level.
• For \( r_{\text{max}} = 0.97 \), the method can detect 9 faulty cases among the 9 existing 9/9.
• For \( r_{\text{max}} = 0.90 \), the method can detect 8/9 faults.
• For \( r_{\text{max}} = 0.85 \), the method allows us to detect 8/9 case as for the last threshold.

So, the method can detect 89% of the faults in most of the cases including also the case when the fault is only on one turn. A global analysis of the correlation coefficient value with simultaneous three phases is presented in Fig.12 where the great dissymmetry of the figure shown the fault detection for considered positions. The moving of the triangle corners towards the center of the blue triangle means a detection of the fault. We can remark a good sensitivity of this method for incipient faults (1 turn, \( r = -0.5297 \)) and a decrease of the correlation coefficient for each faulty case. Here the level of the short circuit current is not essential for fault detection and the threshold value supports slight variations without notable changes in the percentage of fault detection.

Fig. 12. Global presentation of correlation coefficient value considering the three phases of the SM and three short-circuit currents.

An increase of the fault detection percentage can be obtained with additional measurements at other sensor position, to increase chance for the sensors to be close to the faulty winding axis. However, this requires more sensors, and need to have free space around the machine to place the sensors.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a statistical methodology for detection of short-circuit faults in the stator windings for asynchronous and synchronous three phase machines. It proposes the calculation of a correlation coefficient \( r \) obtained by analyzing the evolution of magnetic flux harmonics measured around of the machine frame in no load and load condition to detect the incipient faults. This diagnosis technique based on magnetic flux measurement is highly likely to meet criteria for this type of fault consequence of magnetic field sensitivity at low unbalanced created by faults.

The advantage of the proposed method is that it is reliable, inexpensive and simple to implement. This noninvasive method uses two flux coil sensors diametrically located to measure the external magnetic field in the vicinity of the machine. The method only needs the knowledge of the number of rotor slots. From this, frequencies of sensitive harmonics are deduced. Pearson correlation coefficient is proved a useful tool for detecting a fault by one indicator, its use in proposed application allow to detect 94% for (AMs) of the fault and 89% for (SMs) of the faults including when the fault is in one turn. Moreover, the method has a high-level accuracy and speed for the faults detections. On the other hand, this method does not require any knowledge on the presumed healthy state of the machine. A difference of values of the Pearson correlation coefficient is a good indicator of inter-turn short circuit fault and the increase of the fault detection percentage can be obtained with additional measurement at other sensor position if the sensors can be placed around the machine.
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